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As some readers of the Texas Psychologist may have seen, Therapeutic Assessment was recently featured in the January 2006 issue of the APA Monitor. In this article, we briefly describe the background and format of Therapeutic Assessment.

Background and Theory

Therapeutic Assessment (TA) is a relatively new assessment paradigm where psychological tests are used as the centerpiece of a brief psychotherapeutic intervention. TA grew out of the tradition of collaborative psychological assessment introduced by Constance Fischer (1986/1994) and others, and was developed by Stephen Finn and his colleagues in Austin after they noticed that many clients seemed to undergo profound psychological changes after taking part in a psychological assessment. As a faculty member at the University of Texas at Austin, Finn and his students studied why and how psychological assessment could be therapeutic (e.g., Finn & Tonsager, 1992) and eventually developed a theory of how this happens. Briefly, TA seems to work by producing changes in clients’ “stories” about themselves and the world. The assessor uses psychological tests to gain empathy for clients’ dilemmas and problems in living, and then helps clients develop more accurate and compassionate stories about themselves (Finn & Tonsager, 1997). For example, a client who believes he is “lazy” because he lacks motivation and drive, may learn through an assessment that he is depressed. This new “story” is not only more accurate and less negative, it points towards concrete steps the client may take to address his lack of passion and motivation (i.e., therapy and medication). Independent studies confirm that TA can lead to decreases in symptomatology, increases in self-esteem and hope, and better compliance with treatment recommendations (Newman & Greenway, 1997; Ackerman, Hilsenroth, Baity, & Blagys, 2000).

Format of a Therapeutic Assessment

Although every evaluation is unique, there is a common structure to many therapeutic assessments.

Step 1: Initial Session

In the initial session, the client and assessor meet to discuss the goals and context of the assessment. If the client has been referred by another professional, the assessor reveals (with that person’s permission) the questions the referring professional hopes will be addressed in the assessment process. In addition, the assessor and the client work together to delineate questions the client has concerning him or herself or concerning the client’s life circumstances, about which the...
assessment may be able to provide insights. Subsequently, the assessor collects background information relevant to each of the client's questions, and practical aspects of the assessment are discussed (e.g., cost, number of subsequent sessions, who will receive information about the client's assessment results). The client has an opportunity to ask questions about the assessment process and voice his or her concerns or fears.

By centering on client's personal concerns, questions, and agendas, TA: 1) helps motivate clients to respond to the psychological measures in an open and honest fashion, 2) identifies "open doors" through which difficult-to-hear test findings may be presented at the end of the evaluation, and 3) assesses and engages client's curiosity and observing ego, which alone can decrease distress and set the stage for therapeutic change.

**Step 2: Client Completes Tests (usually 3-4 sessions)**

In TA, assessors pay close attention to how the various psychological measures are introduced to clients and administered. Generally, it is important to begin by explaining that the tests are widely used in many different settings and yield information about a range of strengths, problems, and personality traits. Then the assessor should explain how a particular test is relevant to the client's questions for the assessment. For example, a client who has asked, "How depressed am I?" may be told that the MMPI-2 and the Rorschach have been used in research to measure severity of depression. A client who has asked about having trouble concentrating and completing assignments at work may be told that the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Adults-III (WAIS-III) may help understand the nature of client's difficulties.

The assessor administers the tests (typically one per session) following standard instructions and administration procedures; however, a substantial effort is made to make the administration setting as comfortable for clients as possible. If clients express reservations about taking a particular test, they are encouraged to discuss their concerns with the assessor and unless resolved and reassured, clients are never asked to participate in or finish (if concerns are voiced during an administration) any measures with which they are not comfortable. From our experience, this approach provides both clients and assessors with possible insights into clients' dilemmas. For example, a client who dislikes the Rorschach because of "all the dark cards that look scary" may have an opportunity to connect to dark feelings inside him/her and with the fear of falling into a depression. In TA, after standardization of administration of the measures, clients are asked to reflect on their experience of taking each test, process their feelings about it, and are encouraged to share thoughts, interpretations, or insights about their specific responses.

By referencing client's personal goals for the assessment, explaining how the various tests are relevant, and inviting the client to share his or her insights about the test, the assessor; 1) elicits the client's cooperation and best effort, 2) communicates respect for the client by letting him/her "in" to the assessor's thinking, 3) utilizes a client's insight about their test results and process, and 4) supports the client in integrating new information he/she may learn in the process of completing the tests.

**Step 3: Tests are Scored and Interpreted**

In TA, there is great respect for the normatively based hypotheses that can be derived from standardized tests; thus, the next step is to score and interpret the test results and profiles as if they were the sole source of information about the client. That is, assessors first interpret the assessment results as if they were blind to the client's assessment questions, and then seek a coherent integration of all the various test results and collateral information available for consideration. Next, assessors review the results again, holding the client's questions in mind. At this stage, assessors begin to sketch out tentative answers to a client's questions and to anticipate which of these answers will be most challenging for the client to hear and understand.

**Step 4: Assessment Intervention Session (Optional)**

One of the later developments in TA is the use of a separate assessment intervention session — prior to the summary/discussion (feedback) session — for assessors to introduce and explore hypotheses with clients that they have derived from the assessment data. Assessment intervention sessions are not necessary or advisable for all clients.

Basically, in an assessment intervention session, an assessor uses non-standardized tests or other techniques — such as psycho-drama, role-playing, or art projects — to create vivid experiences for clients that may help them understand their main questions for the assessment. Alternatively, standardized tests may be administered following individualized, non-standardized instructions. Prepared with a set of hypothesis derived from the assessment results about the client's conflicts, defenses, and core issues, the assessor attempts to elicit in the assessment session actual instances of the client's problems in living. If such efforts are successful, the client and assessor may then observe, analyze, and discuss those problems as they occur in the assessment setting and then try to generalize any insights to the client's outside life.

As an example, let's say a client has a question: "Why am I so tired all the time and can't enjoy life the way I used to?" Imagine this client's Rorschach scores suggest a substantial underlying depression (e.g., DEPI-6), but the MMPI-2 profile reveals no self-reported depression (Scale 2 = 50T) and suggests the heavy use of repression and denial to manage painful affect (e.g., Scale 3 = 75T). The assessor may ask the client to tell stories to selected TAT cards with a clear dysphoric content. If the client told stories related to painful events and consistently ended them
Following the assessment intervention session, the assessor takes time to carefully plan the summary/discussion session with the client. Based on our clinical work and supported by research, clients appear to find assessment information most useful when it is presented according to how closely it matches their existing ideas or the story they have about themselves (Schroeder, Hahn, Finn, & Swann, 1993). Early in a summary/discussion session, assessors should relate in

then discuss other ways of handling and processing difficult feelings.

In general, assessment intervention sessions are centered on psychological issues that could be difficult for the client to grasp from an explanation of the test results alone. In our clinical experience, many clients describe these sessions as having impacted them greatly.

**Step 5: Assessor Plans the Summary/Discussion Session**

Step 6: Summary/Discussion Session

If there has been an assessment intervention session, the assessor typically begins the summary/discussion session by inquiring about the client’s reactions to that session and discussing those. Then the assessor reviews the plan for the session with the client—typically, to discuss and answer the client’s questions posed at the beginning of the assessment—and invites the client to interrupt, agree, disagree, ask
questions, and share any reactions during the session. With many clients, it is useful to start by showing the WAIS-III or the MMPI-2 profile, and orient the client to it. Then, the assessor begins to review the major test findings of the assessment and how they relate to the client’s questions.

Research confirms our clinical experience by showing that the best method for reviewing test findings with clients is an interactive one (Hanson, Claiborn, & Kerr, 1997). For this reason we now call these types of sessions summary/discussion, rather than feedback sessions, for the latter term implies a unilateral flow of information from assessor to client.

We suggest that assessors share one piece of information, all the while carefully watching a client’s demeanor to judge his/her reactions. If the client agrees with the finding, the assessor asks for an example of how it is borne out in the client’s life and then listens carefully to the example to make sure the client is not simply blindly agreeing to the assessor’s interpretation. If a client disagrees, one may ask the client to help modify the finding so it fits with the client’s experience. If a client totally rejects a hypothesis derived from the testing, an assessor has several options. Sometimes, it is useful to restate the finding using different language; at other times one asks the client if any part of what one has said seems correct. And other times, it is better to simply back off and agree that the test could be wrong. As Finn (1996) has emphasized, one should not argue with a client about the validity of the assessment results.

As outlined earlier, the assessor tries to present information in order of how well it matches client’s existing self-concepts, all while looking for signs of overwhelm or defensiveness from the client. (It is best to stop and come back later to review the results, should clients become too overwhelmed to process the information). If all pertinent information is covered, the assessor moves toward ending the session by inviting other questions or reactions from the client, thanking the client for participating, mentioning that a letter will follow, and inviting the client to attend a follow session in 4 to 6 weeks. Finn (1996) also encourages that assessors share some way with clients that they felt moved or learned something through working with the client.

**Step 7: Written Feedback Given to the Client**

In TA, the assessor sends a letter to the client shortly after the summary/discussion session, reviewing the major points from the session and incorporating the client’s modifications and examples (noted during the summary/discussion session.) In this way, the client sees his or her own impact on the assessment findings. With the client’s permission, a copy of the letter is also sent to the referring professional.

Assessment feedback forms are included with the letter, providing clients with an opportunity to reflect on the assessment process and provide the assessors with feedback about strengths and weaknesses of the assessment.

**Step 8: Follow-Up Session(s) (Optional)**

At the summary/discussion session, clients are invited to return at some later date (typically 4-6 weeks later) to talk about their later reactions to the assessment and any new questions they have. In our clinical experience, such meetings serve as “booster sessions” in enhancing the beneficial aspects of TA. A similar invitation is extended to the referring professionals, who often use the assessment as a base for consultation in their ongoing work with the client.

**Billing for Therapeutic Assessment**

Many third-party payers permit and encourage assessors to bill some parts of a therapeutic assessment as psychotherapy. It is best to consult with each company about how it wishes to handle such sessions. It may also be useful to remind payors that the current ABA ethics code requires assessors to offer feedback to clients about their assessment results, except in certain forensic and employment screening situations.

**Training in Therapeutic Assessment**

Training in Therapeutic Assessment is offered through training workshops sponsored by the Center for Therapeutic Assessment and by a number of other organizations around the country. To be placed on our mailing list for future workshops, please email Stephen Finn at sfinn@mail.utexas.edu or call 512-329-5090.

**References**


